1	1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	
	Alex Spiro (appearing pro hac vice)	
2	arexspiroto-quimemanuer.com	
3	Andrew J. Rossman (appearing pro hac vice)	
	andrewrossman@quinnemanuel.com	
4		
	ellydethompson@quinnemanuel.com	
5	4	
	jessebernstein@quinnemanuel.com	
6	31 Wadison Avenue, 22nd 1 1001	
7	7 New York, New York 10010	
´	Telephone: (212) 849-7000	
8		
	Michael T. Lifrak (Bar No. 210846)	
9		
10	865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	
10	Los Aligeles, Camorina 9001/-2343	
11	11 Telephone: (213) 443-3000	
12	12	
,,		
13		
14	Brad W. Buss, Robyn Denholm, Ira Ehrenpreis,	
`	Anionio J. Gracias, James Muraoch, Kimbai Musk,	
15	15 and Linda Johnson Rice	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	18	
19	IS IN RE TESLA, INC. SECURITIES Case No. 3:18-cv-04865	FMC
20		-LIVIC
20	DEFENDANTS' AME	NDED PROPOSED
21		NDED I KOI OSED
22	22	
23		
23		
24	24	
25	25	
26		
ا ۵۷	20	
27	27	
28	28	

Case No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

1 2 A. **RULE 10B-5 CLAIM: LIABILITY** 3 Tweet 1: Am considering taking Tesla private at \$420. Funding secured. 4 1. Did the Plaintiff prove that the statement "Funding secured" in the tweet "Am considering taking Tesla private at \$420. Funding secured" was materially false or materially misleading? 5 6 Yes: No: 7 If you answered yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here and move on to Tweet 2 on the next page. 8 2. Did the Plaintiff prove that the statement "Funding secured" was made by the Defendant(s)? 9 Yes: _____ No: ____ 10 Elon Musk: 11 Yes: _____ No: ____ Tesla: 12 If you answered yes as to one or both Defendants, answer question only 3 as to the same Defendant(s). If you answered no to both Defendants, stop here and move on to Tweet 2 on the next page. 13 14 3. Did the Plaintiff prove that the Defendant(s) made the statement "Funding secured" with actual knowledge or deliberate recklessness that the statement was materially false or materially 15 misleading? 16 Yes: _____ No: ____ Elon Musk: 17 Yes: ____ No: Tesla: 18 19 PLEASE PROCEED TO TWEET 2 ON THE NEXT PAGE 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	Tweet 2: "Investor support on a shareholder vote."	is confirmed. Only reason	why this is not cert	ain is that it's contingent
2		ave that Tayout 2 avec materia	lly folgo on motoriall	u mislandina?
3	_	ove that Tweet 2 was materia	my faise or materian	y misieading?
4	Yes:	No:		
5	If you answered yes, to instructions at the bottom of the	hen answer question 6. If yo	ou answered no, stop	o here and move on to the
6			1. 7. 6. 1/.) 0	
7	5. Did the Plaintiff pro	ove that Tweet 2 was made by	y the Defendant(s)?	
8	Elon Musk:	Yes:	No:	
9	Tesla:	Yes:	No:	
10		as to one or both Defenda		
11	Defendant(s). If you answere bottom of the page.	ed no to both Defendants, sto	op here and move or	n to the instructions at the
12		ove that the Defendant(s) made	le Tweet 2 with actu	al knowledge or deliberate
13	-	he statement was materially f		_
14	Elon Musk:	Yes:	No:	
15	Tesla:	Yes:	No:	
16				
17		o either Defendant in question		
18	page. If you did not, you are the Verdict Form and inform t		rm. Please turn to the	he last page, sign and date
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		2		Casa No. 2:18 av 04865 EMC

1	7. Did the Plaintiff prove reliance?
2	Yes: No:
3	If you answered yes, then answer questions 8 and 9. If you answered no, you are finished with the
4	Verdict Form. Please turn to the last page, sign and date the Verdict Form and inform the Court you have finished.
5	8. On what date did the market learn the truth about the information that was allegedly misstated
6	in Tweet 1 and/or Tweet 2?
7	Date:
8	
9	9. Did the Plaintiff prove that the statement(s) referenced in Questions 1 and 4 caused the Plaintiff to suffer losses?
11	Yes: No:
12	If you answered yes, please proceed to Part B , below. If you answered no, you are finished with
13	the Verdict Form. Please turn to the last page, sign and date the Verdict Form and inform the Court you
14	have finished.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Case No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC DEFENDANTS' AMENDED PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

B.	1				MAGES	or 1 1 0	,• 1• · ·	1 .1 .1 . 1	1 1 1	1.44	
	1.	Did Plaint caused by							ole below	and that tl	ney were
						1					, ,
			07- Aug	08- Aug	09- Aug	10- Aug	13- Aug	14- Aug	15- Aug	16- Aug	17- Aug
		(\$/share)	\$66.67	\$57.44	\$39.55	\$42.59	\$43.51	\$34.74	\$25.79	\$22.55	\$N/A
								I			
					Yes:		No: _				
	2.	On what d	late did th	e market	price of T	esla stock	return to	the level i	it would h	ave been 1	trading at
		absent any									
					Date:						
								<u> </u>			
				PLEAS	SE PROC	EED TO	THE NEX	T PAGE.			

1 2	3.	3. Has Plaintiff proven that the implied volatilities for the Class Period, as reported by the CBOE, would Tweet 2?	
3			
4		Yes:	No:
5			
6 7	4.	Has Plaintiff proven that the movements in prices of during the Class Period can be reliably used to mea	
8		1 and/or Tweet 2?	
9		Van	N.
		Yes:	No:
10		PLEASE PROCEED TO TH	E NEXT PAGE.
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		5	Case No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC

5. For each Note, did Plaintiff prove the amounts of artificial inflation listed in the table below and that they were caused by Defendant(s)?

	07-	08-	09-	10-	13-	14-	15-	16-	17-
	Aug								
2019 Note	\$4.49	\$3.23	\$1.85	\$2.30	\$2.83	\$2.87	\$2.43	\$2.17	\$0.00
2021 Note	\$6.17	\$4.44	\$2.54	\$3.16	\$3.88	\$3.95	\$3.34	\$2.99	\$0.00
2022 Note	\$6.26	\$4.50	\$2.58	\$3.20	\$3.94	\$4.00	\$3.38	\$3.03	\$0.00

Yes:	No:	

PLEASE PROCEED TO THE NEXT PAGE

1	C. <u>SECTION 20(A) CI</u>	LAIM: LIABILITY		
2 3	Question No. 1: Has Plaintiff proved that apply):	the Section 20(a) Clair	n as to any of the Tesla Direct	or Defendants (check all
4	Brad W. Buss:	Yes:	No:	
5	Robyn Denholm:	Yes:	No:	
6	Ira Ehrenpreis:	Yes:	No:	
7	Antonio J. Gracias:	Yes:	No:	
8	James Murdoch:	Yes:	No:	
9	Kimbal Musk:	Yes:	No:	
10	Linda Johnson Rice:	Yes:	No:	
11				
12	Question No. 2:	y" in response to Quest	ion No. 1 as to any Defendant,	have Defendants proved
13	a Good Faith Defense as to t			nave Defendants proved
14	Brad W. Buss:	Yes:	No:	
15	Robyn Denholm:	Yes:	No:	
16	Ira Ehrenpreis:	Yes:	No:	
17	Antonio J. Gracias:	Yes:	No:	
18	James Murdoch:	Yes:	No:	
19	Kimbal Musk:	Yes:	No:	
	Linda Johnson Rice:	Yes:	No:	
20				
21				
22	PLEAS	E PROCEED TO SEC	TION D ON THE NEXT PA	GE.
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		_		C N 2.10 040(5 FM(

1	D. <u>ALLOCATION OF</u>	RESPONSIBILITY	
2	Question No. 1:		
3 4		Rule 10b-5 Claim and/or Section nowledge" or "deliberate reckles	20(a) Claim against any Defendant, did that sness"?
5	Only answer this que	stion as to the Defendants agains	et whom you found Plaintiff proved a claim.
6 7	Answer the following liability.	g question only as to the stateme	ents for which Plaintiff proved Rule 10(b)-5
8	Statement 1: "Funding sec	ured"	
9	Elon Musk:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
10	Tesla:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
11	Brad W. Buss:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
12	Robyn Denholm:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
13	Ira Ehrenpreis:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
14	Antonio J. Gracias:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
	James Murdoch:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
15	Kimbal Musk:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
16	Linda Johnson Rice:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
17 18	Statement 2: Tweet 2		
19	Elon Musk:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
20	Tesla:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
21	Brad W. Buss:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
22	Robyn Denholm:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
23	Ira Ehrenpreis:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
	Antonio J. Gracias:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
24	James Murdoch:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
25	Kimbal Musk:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
26	Linda Johnson Rice:	Actual Knowledge:	Deliberate Recklessness:
27			
28			

1	
2	Question No. 2:
3	If you checked "Actual Knowledge" for <i>all</i> Defendant(s) and as to <i>each misstatement</i> for which Plaintiff proved a Rule 10b-5 Claim, please skip to the final page.
5	If you checked "Deliberate Recklessness" for <i>any</i> Defendant(s) and as to <i>any</i> misstatement, you must determine what percentage of responsibility, if any, for the Plaintiff's loss is due to each Defendant.
6	Only assign a percentage of responsibility to Defendants for whom found liable in Section A or Section C. The total must add up to 100%.
8	% Elon Musk
9	% Tesla
10	% Brad W. Buss
	% Robyn Denholm
11	% Ira Ehrenpreis
12	% Antonio J. Gracias
13	% James Murdoch
14	% Kimbal Musk
15	% Linda Johnson Rice
16	(Total must equal 100%)
17	
18	PROCEED TO SECTION F ON THE NEXT PAGE.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	O N 2.10 04065 TN40

Dated:	Signed:	
	Jury For	eperson
	-10-	Case No. 3:18-cv-04

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that the foregoing document was served on all counsel of record electronically or by another manner authorized under FED. R. CIV. P. 5(b) on this the 20th day of December 2022. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP By /s/ Michael T. Lifrak
Michael T. Lifrak Attorneys for Tesla, Inc., Elon Musk, Brad W. Buss, Robyn Denholm, Ira Ehrenpreis, Antonio J. Gracias, James Murdoch, Kimbal Musk, and Linda Johnson Rice